# INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT TREATY ARBITRATION AND INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION – CONCEPTUAL DIFFERENCE OR ONLY A "STATUS THING"?

*Stephan Wilske*[*], *Martin Raible*[**] *& Lars Markert*[***]

## ABSTRACT

***The article comments on the current debate on the allegedly fundamental conceptual difference between international investment treaty arbitration and international commercial arbitration. The authors acknowledge that investment treaty disputes and commercial disputes may be perceived differently, but the differences are not always significant. In fact, international commercial arbitration and investment treaty arbitration are close relatives. Many of the differences are often exaggerated for status and business-related reasons.***

---

[*] Partner, Gleiss Lutz, Stuttgart (Germany); MCIArb, admitted to the New York and German bar as well as to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit; Maîtrise en droit, Université d'Aix-Marseille III, France; LL.M. (The University of Chicago); Dr. iur (Tübingen); lecturer at the Universities of Reutlingen, Speyer and Heidelberg. Stephan Wilske is a member of the Advisory Committee of the Swiss Arbitration Academy.

[**] Associated Partner, Gleiss Lutz, Stuttgart (Germany); admitted to the German bar; MALD (Master of Arts in Law and Diplomacy, The Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy, F'03); Dr. iur (Passau).

[***] Associate, Gleiss Lutz, Stuttgart (Germany); admitted to the New York and German bar; Maîtrise en droit, Université d'Aix-Marseille III, France; LL.M. (Georgetown); lecturer at the University of Speyer. The authors express their gratitude to their colleague Todd J. Fox, Associate, Gleiss Lutz, Stuttgart (Germany) for his critical review and valuable assistance in preparing this article.